

Orthopedics

1. MCG Criteria Used

Clinical Criteria: MCG Care Guidelines, 30th Edition

- Knee Arthroplasty, Total (MCG ORG: S-700 ISC, 30th Edition)
- Facet Joint Injection (MCG ORG: A-0695 AC, 30th Edition)
- Epidural Corticosteroid Injection (MCG ORG: A-0225 AC, 30th Edition)
- Knee MRI (MCG ORG: A-0052 AC, 30th Edition)

Applicable CPT / HCPCS Codes:

CPT / HCPCS Code	Description
27447	Arthroplasty, knee, condyle and plateau; medial AND lateral compartments with or without patella resurfacing (total knee arthroplasty)
27486, 27487	Revision of total knee arthroplasty, one or both components
0SRC0J9, 0SRD0J9 (and related)	Replacement of knee joint with synthetic substitute (ICD-10-PCS procedure)
64490-64495	Paravertebral facet joint or facet joint nerve injection, cervical/thoracic and lumbar/sacral, with image guidance
0213T-0218T	Paravertebral facet joint injection with ultrasound guidance (emerging technology codes)
62320-62323	Injection of diagnostic or therapeutic substance into the epidural space, cervical/thoracic and lumbar/sacral, with or without imaging guidance
64479-64484	Transforaminal epidural injection of anesthetic and/or steroid, cervical/thoracic and lumbar/sacral, including each additional level
73721-73723	Magnetic resonance imaging, any joint of lower extremity (knee), without contrast, with contrast, or without followed by with contrast
77021	Magnetic resonance guidance for needle placement (e.g., for biopsy, aspiration, injection)

2. Statement of Rationale

Akido Labs uses the criteria in MCG Care Guidelines (30th Edition) to supplement the general Medicare criteria regarding orthopedic services, including total knee arthroplasty for advanced knee disease, facet joint injection and epidural corticosteroid injection for spinal pain, and knee magnetic resonance imaging for evaluation of internal derangement and other knee pathology, where Medicare coverage criteria are not fully established or require additional clinical specificity. MCG criteria are used to ensure consistency in reviewing the conditions to be met for coverage of orthopedic services and to determine when such services are medically necessary.

Musculoskeletal disease and spinal pain are leading sources of disability, procedural utilization, and variation in the Medicare Advantage population. These services range from definitive joint replacement to diagnostic and therapeutic spinal injections and advanced imaging, each with distinct indications and alternatives. Absent consistent, evidence-based criteria, there is material risk of both inappropriate denial of medically necessary services and inappropriate approval of procedures performed without adequate indication or trial of conservative management.

Potential Clinical Harms:

Total knee arthroplasty: Inappropriate denial of total knee arthroplasty for symptomatic degenerative joint disease with disabling pain, functional disability, and significant radiographic arthritis, after failure of nonoperative management, could prolong immobility, deconditioning, falls risk, and loss of independence in an elderly population. Denial of arthroplasty for posttraumatic joint destruction, osteonecrosis unamenable to joint-preserving surgery, or limb salvage for malignancy could allow progressive joint destruction and permanent disability.

Facet joint injection: Inappropriate denial of diagnostic facet joint or medial branch block in a patient with chronic spinal pain who is a candidate for facet neurotomy could prevent confirmation of the pain generator, leading to either an unguided therapeutic neurotomy or continued unmanaged chronic pain and its associated functional and psychological harms.

Epidural corticosteroid injection: Inappropriate denial of epidural corticosteroid injection for cervical or lumbar radicular pain that has failed noninvasive treatment could prolong radicular pain and neurologic symptoms, and may push patients toward earlier surgery or escalating opioid use when a less invasive intervention was appropriate.

Knee MRI: Inappropriate denial of knee MRI for suspected ligament, meniscal, or tendon injury, suspected infection, neoplasm evaluation, or unexplained localized knee pain could delay diagnosis of a surgically correctable or oncologic condition, allowing progression and a worse functional or survival outcome.

Clinical Benefits:

Total knee arthroplasty: MCG criteria provide validated indications drawn from an orthopedic surgery textbook, a specialty society guideline, and narrative reviews, supporting total knee arthroplasty for symptomatic degenerative joint disease with disabling pain and functional disability supported by significant radiographic findings, as well as posttraumatic destruction, osteonecrosis, and limb salvage. By tying the procedure to these specific indications and to documented failure of nonoperative management, the criteria directly counter the harms of prolonged disability and progressive joint destruction identified above, while supporting appropriate ambulatory-level care: analysis of Medicare procedure data shows 87% of patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty were discharged the day of or the day after surgery.

Facet joint injection: MCG criteria require diagnostic block to confirm the facet joint as the source of spinal pain in patients who are candidates for facet neurotomy, with chronic spinal pain of at least 3 months' duration and failure of nonoperative management. Evidence demonstrates at least moderate certainty of at least moderate net benefit for this diagnostic use, directly countering the harm of unguided neurotomy by ensuring the pain generator is confirmed before a therapeutic procedure is performed.

Epidural corticosteroid injection: MCG criteria reserve epidural corticosteroid injection for cervical or lumbar radicular pain after failure of noninvasive treatment, in the absence of coagulopathy, local malignancy, or infection. The supporting evidence, including a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials, establishes a net benefit for radicular pain, directly addressing the harm of prolonged radicular symptoms and premature escalation to surgery or opioids identified above.

Knee MRI: MCG criteria apply diagnostic accuracy evidence demonstrating at least moderate certainty of at least moderate net benefit, recognizing MRI as a superior imaging modality for the knee due to excellent spatial resolution and tissue contrast across bone, joint, ligament, tendon,

infection, and neoplasm indications. Appropriate use of these criteria directly mitigates the harm of delayed diagnosis of surgically correctable or oncologic knee conditions identified above.

Reduction of unwarranted variation and overutilization: MCG criteria also identify circumstances where a less invasive or conservative alternative is appropriate (e.g., continued nonoperative management before arthroplasty, physical therapy and pharmacotherapy before spinal injection, and reservation of advanced imaging for indicated presentations), reducing unnecessary procedural and imaging exposure in this population. The clinical benefits of using MCG Care Guidelines are highly likely to outweigh any clinical harms, including delayed or decreased access to services. The criteria are unlikely to lead to inappropriate denials because they incorporate indications and thresholds drawn directly from current orthopedic and pain medicine specialty society guidelines and high-quality evidence. Use of these criteria ensures patients receive the most clinically appropriate level of care, and decreases inappropriate denials by applying a consistent, objective, evidence-based set of review criteria across the Medicare Advantage population.

3. Explanation of Supplementation

Basis for Use:

Original Medicare clinical criteria are not fully established and require supplementation to ensure consistent medical necessity determinations for specific orthopedic services, including total knee arthroplasty, facet joint injection, epidural corticosteroid injection, and knee MRI. While Medicare statutes establish the general 'reasonable and necessary' standard, they do not specify the clinical indications and thresholds (e.g., radiographic severity and failed conservative management for arthroplasty, dual diagnostic block requirements for facet injection, radicular pain and treatment-failure criteria for epidural injection, and the specific clinical presentations warranting knee MRI) required to distinguish indicated from nonindicated services and inpatient-appropriate from ambulatory-appropriate care.

Applicable Medicare References:

- Social Security Act Section 1862(a)(1): 'Reasonable and necessary' standard
- Medicare Benefit Policy Manual, Chapter 1: Inpatient Hospital Services Covered Under Part A (CMS IOM Publication 100-02)
- Medicare Benefit Policy Manual, Chapter 6: Hospital Services Covered Under Part B (CMS IOM Publication 100-02)
- Medicare Benefit Policy Manual, Chapter 14: Medical Devices (CMS IOM Publication 100-02)
- Medicare Benefit Policy Manual, Chapter 15: Covered Medical and Other Health Services (CMS IOM Publication 100-02)
- Medicare Benefit Policy Manual, Chapter 16: General Exclusions from Coverage (CMS IOM Publication 100-02)
- Medicare Program Integrity Manual, Chapter 6, Section 6.5: Medical Review of Inpatient Hospital Claims for Part A Payment (CMS IOM Publication 100-08)
- Code of Federal Regulations: 42 CFR 412.3; 42 CFR 419.22; 42 CFR 422.101
- National Coverage Determinations: For total knee arthroplasty, facet joint injection, epidural corticosteroid injection, and knee MRI, no specific NCD or LCD fully establishes clinical procedural thresholds; Original Medicare criteria are not fully established for these services, requiring supplementation. Where applicable LCDs address spinal injections or advanced imaging, MCG criteria are used to supplement and do not replace them.

- Medicare Coverage Database:
<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx>

Within the above statutes and regulations, coverage criteria for these specific orthopedic services are not fully established, and the use of MCG Care Guidelines is necessary to provide additional clinical specificity. Specifically, MCG criteria assist in determining:

- Medical necessity and appropriateness of total knee arthroplasty for degenerative, posttraumatic, and other qualifying knee conditions after failure of nonoperative management;
- Medical necessity and appropriateness of diagnostic facet joint injection in candidates for facet neurotomy, and of epidural corticosteroid injection for radicular pain after failed noninvasive treatment;
- Medical necessity and appropriateness of knee MRI for the specific bone, joint, soft-tissue, infectious, and neoplastic indications described;
- Appropriate level of care (ambulatory versus inpatient), expected clinical course, and identification of complications that warrant inpatient admission or stay extension following arthroplasty.

The use of MCG Care Guidelines ensures consistency in reviewing the conditions to be met for coverage of orthopedic services. Use of these criteria to supplement the coverage criteria noted above provides clinical benefits by helping ensure surgical, procedural, and imaging services are not incorrectly denied when medically appropriate for a particular patient, nor incorrectly approved when not reasonable and necessary. The clinical benefits of using these criteria are highly likely to outweigh any clinical harms, including from inappropriate denials, because the criteria are unlikely to lead to inappropriate denials. The added criteria will provide numerous clinical benefits in helping ensure patients receive the appropriate level of care. In addition, use of the criteria may decrease inappropriate denials by creating a consistent set of evidence-based review criteria.

4. Summary of Clinical Evidence

Background

Knee Arthroplasty, Total (MCG ORG: S-700 ISC)

Total knee arthroplasty is the surgical replacement of the knee joint with a prosthesis and is indicated for symptomatic degenerative joint disease, failure of previous osteotomy, posttraumatic joint destruction, distal femur or tibial plateau fracture in osteoporotic patients, limb salvage for malignancy, congenital deformity, hemophilic arthropathy, tenosynovial giant cell tumor with joint destruction, osteonecrosis unamenable to joint-preserving surgery, and revision of previous arthroplasty. The procedure is treated as ambulatory, recognizing that an ambulatory procedure may include one postoperative overnight stay depending on payer and regulatory definitions, including the CMS Two-Midnight Rule.

Facet Joint Injection (MCG ORG: A-0695 AC)

Facet joint injection, including medial branch nerve block and intra-articular injection, is an ambulatory procedure used primarily as a diagnostic block to confirm the facet joint as the source of spinal pain in patients who are candidates for facet neurotomy. Dual diagnostic blocks are necessary to diagnose facet pain. Indications require chronic spinal pain of at least 3 months' duration and failure of nonoperative management.

Epidural Corticosteroid Injection (MCG ORG: A-0225 AC)

Epidural corticosteroid injection is an ambulatory procedure indicated for cervical or lumbar radicular pain after failure of noninvasive treatment, in the absence of coagulopathy or high

bleeding risk, local malignancy, or local or systemic infection. It is used to reduce radicular pain and may defer or avoid the need for surgical intervention.

Knee MRI (MCG ORG: A-0052 AC)

Knee magnetic resonance imaging is an ambulatory diagnostic study indicated for evaluation of bone anatomy or structural defects, cancer or neoplasm, known or suspected infection, joint anatomy or structural defects, ligament/muscle/tendon injury, localized knee pain, patellar instability, peripheral neuropathy, and postoperative assessment. This MCG care guideline may overlap CMS guidance located in statutes and regulations; for Medicare Advantage beneficiaries, applicable NCDs, LCDs, and other regulations are followed first, and MCG criteria supplement but do not replace them.

Evidence Summary

Knee Arthroplasty, Total (MCG ORG: S-700 ISC)

The evidence for the clinical indications in this guideline includes 20 published peer-reviewed articles, 1 specialty society or other evidence-based guideline, and 1 book section. Key evidence includes:

- For symptomatic degenerative joint disease (e.g., disabling pain, functional disability) supported by significant radiographic findings of arthritis, an orthopedic surgery textbook, a specialty society guideline, and narrative reviews support total knee arthroplasty when patients have failed appropriate nonoperative management.
- Additional supported indications include failure of previous proximal tibial or distal femoral osteotomy, posttraumatic joint destruction, fracture in osteoporotic patients, limb salvage for malignancy, hemophilic arthropathy, tenosynovial giant cell tumor with joint destruction, and osteonecrosis unamenable to joint-preserving surgery.
- Analysis of procedure data for a Medicare-insured population shows 87% of patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty were discharged the day of or the day after surgery, supporting ambulatory-level care.

Facet Joint Injection (MCG ORG: A-0695 AC)

The evidence for the clinical indications in this guideline includes 27 published peer-reviewed articles, 1 specialty society or other evidence-based guideline, and 1 Cochrane systematic review. Key evidence includes:

- For diagnostic block, evidence demonstrates at least moderate certainty of at least moderate net benefit. The goal of facet joint injection is to diagnose facet joint pain and determine whether a patient is a candidate for facet neurotomy.
- Controlled diagnostic block evidence supports the requirement for dual diagnostic blocks to diagnose facet pain, with the confirmatory block warranted when the first block produced 80% or greater relief of primary pain for a duration consistent with the anesthetic agent used.

Epidural Corticosteroid Injection (MCG ORG: A-0225 AC)

The evidence for the clinical indications in this guideline includes 39 published peer-reviewed articles, 3 specialty society or other evidence-based guidelines, and 1 Cochrane systematic review. Key evidence includes:

- For cervical radicular pain, evidence demonstrates a net benefit, supported in part by a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials, justifying epidural corticosteroid injection after failure of noninvasive treatment.
- For lumbar radicular pain, the criteria require failure of noninvasive treatment (e.g., NSAIDs, exercise, physical therapy, spinal manipulation) and absence of coagulopathy, local malignancy, and infection before injection is appropriate.

Knee MRI (MCG ORG: A-0052 AC)

The evidence for the clinical indications in this guideline includes 134 published peer-reviewed articles, 13 specialty society or other evidence-based guidelines, and 5 book sections. Key evidence includes:

- For bone anatomy and structural defect evaluation, evidence demonstrates at least moderate certainty of at least moderate net benefit. MRI is a superior imaging modality for the knee due to its excellent spatial resolution and tissue contrast.
- Specialty society guidance supports knee MRI across the range of listed indications, including ligament, meniscal, and tendon injury, suspected infection, neoplasm evaluation and staging, and postoperative assessment of cartilage and ligament repair.

Rationale (from MCG)

Use of these MCG care guidelines helps the clinician identify patient-specific complex clinical factors that determine the medical necessity and appropriate setting for orthopedic surgical, procedural, and imaging services. For the arthroplasty guideline, the evidence-based clinical criteria assist the clinician in the decision to appropriately perform the procedure and to determine the appropriate level of care, including identification of complex factors that make it reasonable to expect a necessity for hospital care across 2 or more midnights and CMS Two-Midnight Rule exceptions for Medicare enrollees. For the injection and imaging guidelines, the criteria help the clinician decide when a diagnostic or therapeutic procedure or advanced imaging study is appropriate, including the requirement for failed conservative management where applicable. Use of these evidence-based clinical criteria ensures patients receive the most appropriate care for their specific condition, reduces unnecessary risks, promotes recovery, and provides a standard that can reduce disparities in care. Appropriate use of the evidence-based clinical criteria ensures Medicare patients receive full access to Medicare benefits without risk of delay or decreased access. These guidelines supplement but do not replace, modify, or supersede existing Medicare regulations or applicable National Coverage Determinations (NCDs) or Local Coverage Determinations (LCDs).

Related CMS Coverage Guidance (as cited in MCG 30th Edition):

- Code of Federal Regulations (CFR): 42 CFR 412.3; 42 CFR 419.22; 42 CFR 422.101 (total knee arthroplasty); 42 CFR 419.22; 42 CFR 422.101 (facet joint injection, epidural corticosteroid injection, and knee MRI)
- CMS IOM Publication 100-02, Medicare Benefit Policy Manual: Chapter 1 (Inpatient Hospital Services); Chapter 6 (Hospital Services Under Part B); Chapter 14 (Medical Devices); Chapter 15 (Covered Medical and Other Health Services); Chapter 16 (General Exclusions from Coverage)
- CMS IOM Publication 100-08, Medicare Program Integrity Manual, Chapter 6, Section 6.5
- Medicare Coverage Determinations: Medicare Coverage Database:
<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx>

References with Citation Summary -- Knee Arthroplasty, Total (MCG ORG: S-700 ISC, 30th Edition)

1. Mihalko WM. Arthroplasty of the knee. In: Azar FM, Beaty JH, editors. Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics. 14th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2021:406-484.e12.
2. Price AJ, et al. Knee replacement. Lancet 2018;392(10158):1672-1682. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32344-4.
3. Hannon CP, et al. 2023 American College of Rheumatology and American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons Clinical Practice guideline for the optimal timing of elective hip or knee arthroplasty for patients with symptomatic moderate-to-severe osteoarthritis or advanced symptomatic osteonecrosis with secondary arthritis for whom nonoperative therapy is ineffective. Journal of Arthroplasty 2023;38(11):2193-2201. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2023.09.003.
4. Gress K, et al. Treatment recommendations for chronic knee osteoarthritis. Best Practice and Research. Clinical Anaesthesiology 2020;34(3):369- 382. DOI: 10.1016/j.bpa.2020.06.006.

5. Stevenson I, McMillan TE, Baliga S, Schemitsch EH. Primary and secondary total knee arthroplasty for tibial plateau fractures. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2018;26(11):386-395. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-16-00565.
6. Fenelon C, Murphy EP, Fahey EJ, Murphy RP, O'Connell NM, Queally JM. Total knee arthroplasty in hemophilia: survivorship and outcomes-a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 2022;37(3):581-592.e1. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2021.10.015.
7. Tan YC, Tan JY, Tsitskaris K. Systematic review: total knee arthroplasty (TKA) in patients with pigmented villonodular synovitis (PVNS). *Knee Surgery & Related Research* 2021;33(1):Online. DOI: 10.1186/s43019-021-00088-1.
8. Stacchiotti S, et al. Best clinical management of tenosynovial giant cell tumour (TGCT): A consensus paper from the community of experts. *Cancer Treatment Reviews* 2023;112:Online. DOI: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2022.102491.
9. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Surgical Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee. Evidence-based Clinical Practice Guideline [Internet] American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. 2022 Dec Accessed at: <https://www.aaos.org/quality/quality-programs/>. [accessed 2025 Jul 15]
10. Katz JN, Arant KR, Loeser RF. Diagnosis and treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis: a review. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2021;325(6):568-578. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.22171.
11. Cerciello S, Vasso M, Maffulli N, Neyret P, Corona K, Panni AS. Total knee arthroplasty after high tibial osteotomy. *Orthopedics* 2014;37(3):191- 198. DOI: 10.3928/01477447-20140225-08.
12. Bus MP, van de Sande MA, Fiocco M, Schaap GR, Bramer JA, Dijkstra PD. What are the long-term results of MUTARS modular endoprostheses for reconstruction of tumor resection of the distal femur and proximal tibia? *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research* 2017;475(3):708-718. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-015-4644-8.
13. Boontanapibul K, Steere JT, Amanatullah DF, Huddleston JI, Maloney WJ, Goodman SB. Initial presentation and progression of secondary osteonecrosis of the knee. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 2020;35(10):2798-2806. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2020.05.020.
14. Chalmers BP, Mehrotra KG, Sierra RJ, Pagnano MW, Taunton MJ, Abdel MP. Reliable outcomes and survivorship of primary total knee arthroplasty for osteonecrosis of the knee. *Bone and Joint Journal* 2019;101-B(11):1356-1361. DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.101B11.BJJ-2019- 0576.R1.
15. Cohen JS, Gu A, Lopez NS, Park MS, Fehring KA, Sculco PK. Efficacy of revision surgery for the treatment of stiffness after total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 2018;33(9):3049-3055. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2018.04.036.
16. Crawford DA, Berend KR, Lombardi AV. Management of the failed medial unicompartmental Knee arthroplasty. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2018;26(20):e426-e433. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-17-00107.
17. Dalling JG, Math K, Scuderi GR. Evaluating the progression of osteolysis after total knee arthroplasty. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2015;23(3):173-180. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-13-00189.
18. Jauregui JJ, et al. Outcomes of revision joint arthroplasty due to metal allergy and hypersensitivity: a systematic review. *Surgical Technology International* 2018;33:332-336.
19. Kalson NS, et al. Investigation and management of prosthetic joint infection in knee replacement: A BASK surgical practice guideline. *Knee* 2020;27(6):1857-1865. DOI: 10.1016/j.knee.2020.09.010.
20. Kuzyk PRT, Watts E, Backstein D. Revision total knee arthroplasty for the management of periprosthetic fractures. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2017;25(9):624-633. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-15-00680.
21. Born CT, Gil JA, Johnson JP. Periprosthetic tibial fractures. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2018;26(8):e167-e172. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-16-00387.
22. Reeves RA, Schairer WW, Jevsevar DS. Costs and risk factors for hospital readmission after periprosthetic knee fractures in the United States. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 2018;33(2):324-330.e1. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2017.09.024.
23. Surgical Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee. Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline [Internet] American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. 2022 Dec Accessed at: <https://www.aaos.org/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 04]
24. Brophy RH, Fillingham YA. AAOS clinical practice guideline summary: management of osteoarthritis of the knee (nonarthroplasty), third edition. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2022;30(9):e721-e729. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-21-01233.

25. Duong V, Oo WM, Ding C, Culvenor AG, Hunter DJ. Evaluation and treatment of knee pain: a review. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2023;330(16):1568-1580. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2023.19675.
26. Vella-Baldacchino M, Bottle A, Cobb J, Liddle AD. Outcomes of patellofemoral joint arthroplasty compared with total knee arthroplasty for osteoarthritis : a population-based cohort study using data from the National Joint Registry and Hospital Episode Statistics for England. *Bone and Joint Journal* 2025;107-B(5):514-521. DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.107B5.BJJ-2024-1273.R2.
27. O'Neill CN, Gowd AK, Waterman BR, Kates SL, Patel NK. Significant reduction in short-term complications following unicompartmental versus total knee arthroplasty: a propensity score matched analysis. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 2022;37(10):2014-2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2022.04.032.
28. Falck-Ytter Y, et al. Prevention of VTE in orthopedic surgery patients: antithrombotic therapy and prevention of thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest* 2012;141(2 Suppl):e278S-e325S. DOI: 10.1378/chest.11-2404. (Reaffirmed 2025 Feb)
29. Wainwright TW, et al. Consensus statement for perioperative care in total hip replacement and total knee replacement surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society recommendations. *Acta Orthopaedica* 2020;91(1):3-19. DOI: 10.1080/17453674.2019.1683790.
30. Baratta JL, Deiling B, Hassan YR, Schwenk ES. Total joint replacement in ambulatory surgery. *Best Practice and Research. Clinical Anaesthesiology* 2023;37(3):269-284. DOI: 10.1016/j.bpa.2023.03.005.
31. Haas DA, Zhang X, Barnes CL, Iorio RR. The national trend in arthroplasty surgery location and the economic impact on surgeons, hospitals and ASCs. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 2022;37(8):1448-1451. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2022.03.036.
32. Diltz ZR, et al. Safety of ambulatory total hip and knee arthroplasty in patients with obesity. *Orthopedic Clinics of North America* 2025;56(3):241- 249. DOI: 10.1016/j.ocl.2024.09.006.
33. Proprietary health insurance data sources (2023-2024); and Medicare 5% Standard Analytical File (2022-2023).
34. Premier PINC AI™ Healthcare Database (PHD), 01/01/2023-12/31/2024. Premier, Inc.
35. Gong MF, et al. Reasons and risk factors for failed same-day discharge after total joint arthroplasty. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 2024;39(6):1468-1473. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2023.11.032.
36. Hummel A, Matsumoto M, Shimoda B, Au DLMT, Andrews SN, Nakasone CK. Complications following single-stage bilateral total knee arthroplasty and unilateral procedures: experience of a high-volume community hospital. *Archives of Orthopaedic and Trauma Surgery* 2024;144(1):315-322. DOI: 10.1007/s00402-023-05026-2.
37. Peng Z, et al. Incidence and related risk factors for postoperative delirium following revision total knee arthroplasty: a retrospective nationwide inpatient sample database study. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2024;25(1):633. DOI: 10.1186/s12891-024-07757-8.
38. Okpara S, Lee T, Pathare N, Ghali A, Momtaz D, Ihekweazu U. Cardiovascular disease in total knee arthroplasty: an analysis of hospital outcomes, complications, and mortality. *Clinics in Orthopedic Surgery* 2024;16(2):265-274. DOI: 10.4055/cios23224.
39. Metikala S, Venishetty N, Cheppalli N, Jones H, Mounsamy V, Sambandam S. Patients with cirrhosis have higher costs of care, longer length of stays, and more perioperative complications following total knee arthroplasty: a national inpatient sample-based study. *Cureus* 2023;15(10):Online. DOI: 10.7759/cureus.47317.
40. Lakra A, Tram MK, Bernasek TL, Lyons ST, O'Connor CM. Frailty is associated with increased complication, readmission, and hospitalization costs following primary total knee arthroplasty. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 2023;38(7 Suppl 2):S182-S186.e2. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2023.02.036.
41. Hudson T. The role of social determinates of health in discharge practices. *Nursing Clinics of North America* 2021;56(3):369-378. DOI: 10.1016/j.cnur.2021.04.004.
42. Chovanec KA, Arsene C, Beck A, Zachrich K, Liedel B, Wolff-Elliott J. Association of discharge disposition with outcomes. *Population Health Management* 2021;24(1):116-121. DOI: 10.1089/pop.2019.0176.
43. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. "Condition of participation: Discharge planning." 42 CFR Pt. 482.43 Washington, DC 2025 Jan 01 [accessed 2025 Jul 22] Accessed at: <https://www.ecfr.gov/>.

44. Swartz GN, Katanbaf R, Ihekweazu U, Mont MA, Delanois RE. The role of social determinants of health in the delivery of value-based care in total joint arthroplasty. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 2025;40(2):276-279. DOI: 10.1016/j.arth.2024.11.031.
45. Filson R. Musculoskeletal trauma and orthopedic surgery. In: Harding MM, Kwong J, Hagler D, Reinisch C, editors. *Lewis's Medical-Surgical Nursing: Assessment and Management of Clinical Problems*. 12th ed. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 2023:1638-1672.
46. Drugs affecting blood coagulation. In: Tucker RG, editor. *Karch's Focus on Nursing Pharmacology*. 9th ed. Wolters Kluwer; 2023:852-877.
47. Adverse drug reactions and medication errors. In: Burchum JR, Rosenthal LD, editors. *Lehne's Pharmacology for Nursing Care*. 12th ed. Elsevier; 2025:64-75.
48. Skin integrity and wound care. In: Lynn P, editor. *Taylor's Clinical Nursing Skills*. 6th ed. Wolters Kluwer; 2023:452-540.
49. Targeted care planning and care transitions. In: Perez R, editor. *CMSA's Integrated Case Management*. 2nd ed. Springer Publishing Company LLC; 2023:178-189.
50. Elements of Excellence in Transitions of Care (TOC). TOC Checklist [Internet] National Transitions of Care Coalition. 2006 Accessed at: <https://www.ntocc.org>. [accessed 2025 Sep 16]
51. Medical-surgical nursing. In: Hinkle JL, Cheever KH, Overbaugh KJ, editors. *Brunner & Suddarth's Textbook of Medical-Surgical Nursing*. 15th ed. Wolters Kluwer; 2022:33-55.
52. Transition of care. In: Gillingham DC, editor. *Foundations of Case Management*. Blue Bayou Press; 2021:137-144.
53. Becker C, et al. Interventions to improve communication at hospital discharge and rates of readmission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Network Open* 2021;4(8):e2119346. DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.19346.
54. The nursing process in drug therapy and patient safety. In: Tucker RG, editor. *Karch's Focus on Nursing Pharmacology*. 9th ed. Wolters Kluwer; 2023:47-57.
55. Safe medication preparation. In: Perry AG, Potter PA, Ostendorf WR, Laplante N, editors. *Clinical Nursing Skills and Techniques*. 11th ed. Elsevier; 2025:586-607.
56. The case manager's roles, functions, and activities. In: Gillingham DC, editor. *Foundations of Case Management*. Blue Bayou Press; 2021:9-14.
57. Anderson TS, Ayanian JZ, Herzig SJ, Souza J, Landon BE. Gaps in primary care follow-up after hospital discharge among Medicare beneficiaries. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 2025;DOI: 10.1111/jgs.19496.
58. The intervention. In: Dutton M, editor. *Dutton's Orthopaedic Examination, Evaluation, and Intervention*. 6th ed. McGraw-Hill Education; 2023:343- 368.
59. Bragg-Underwood T. Family health. In: Nies MA, editor. *Community/Public Health Nursing*. 8th ed. Elsevier; 2024:404-427.
60. Rajaei SS, Debbi EM, Paiement GD, Spitzer AI. Increased prevalence, complications, and costs of smokers undergoing total knee arthroplasty. *Journal of Knee Surgery* 2022;35(1):91-95. DOI: 10.1055/s-0040-1713128.
61. Harding MM. Substance use disorders in acute care. In: Harding MM, Kwong J, Hagler D, Reinisch C, editors. *Lewis's Medical-Surgical Nursing: Assessment and Management of Clinical Problems*. 12th ed. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 2023:162-178.
62. Activity. In: Lynn P, editor. *Taylor's Clinical Nursing Skills*. 6th ed. Wolters Kluwer; 2023:541-632.
63. Day K. Vascular disorders. In: Harding MM, Kwong J, Hagler D, Reinisch C, editors. *Lewis's Medical-Surgical Nursing: Assessment and Management of Clinical Problems*. 12th ed. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 2023:932-960.
64. Anticoagulant, antiplatelet, and thrombolytic drugs. In: Burchum JR, Rosenthal LD, editors. *Lehne's Pharmacology for Nursing Care*. 12th ed. Elsevier; 2025:622-648.
65. Management of patients with dermatologic disorders. In: Hinkle JL, Cheever KH, Overbaugh KJ, editors. *Brunner & Suddarth's Textbook of Medical-Surgical Nursing*. 15th ed. Wolters Kluwer; 2022:1815-1864.
66. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. "Admissions." 42 CFR 412.3 Washington, DC 2025 Jul [accessed 2025 Jul 22] Accessed at: <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-42/chapter-IV/subchapter-B/part-412>.

67. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. "Hospital services excluded from payment under the hospital outpatient prospective payment system." 42 CFR 419.22 Washington, DC 2023 Jul [accessed 2025 Jul 22] Accessed at: <https://www.ecfr.gov/>.
68. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. "Requirements relating to basic benefits." 42 CFR 422.101 Washington, DC 2025 Jun 03 [accessed 2025 Jul 23] Accessed at: <https://www.ecfr.gov/>.
69. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 1 - Inpatient Hospital Services Covered Under Part A Rev. 10892 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2021 Aug Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
70. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 6 - Hospital Services Covered Under Part B Rev. 12425 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2023 Dec Accessed at: <http://www.cms.gov/manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
71. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 15 - Covered Medical and Other Health Services Rev. 13108 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2025 Apr 11 Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
72. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Program Integrity Manual. Chapter 6, Section 6.5 - Medical Review of Inpatient Hospital Claims for Part A Payment Rev. 10365 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2020 Oct 02 Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare/regulations-guidance/manuals/internet-only-manuals-ioms>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
73. Medicare Coverage Database. [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coveredagedatabase/search.aspx?> Updated 2025 [accessed 2025 Oct 23]

References with Citation Summary -- Facet Joint Injection (MCG ORG: A-0695 AC, 30th Edition)

1. Manchikanti L, et al. Comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for facet joint interventions in the management of chronic spinal pain: American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (ASIPP) guidelines facet joint interventions 2020 guidelines. *Pain Physician* 2020;23(3S):S1-S127.
2. Cohen SP, et al. Consensus practice guidelines on interventions for lumbar facet joint pain from a multispecialty, international working group. *Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine* 2020;45(6):424-467. DOI: 10.1136/rapm-2019-101243.
3. Hurley RW, et al. Consensus practice guidelines on interventions for cervical spine (facet) joint pain from a multispecialty international working group. *Pain Medicine* 2021;22(11):2443-2524. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnab281.
4. Perolat R, et al. Facet joint syndrome: from diagnosis to interventional management. *Insights Into Imaging* 2018;9(5):773-789. DOI: 10.1007/s13244-018-0638-x.
5. Engel A, King W, Schneider BJ, Duszynski B, Bogduk N. The effectiveness of cervical medial branch thermal radiofrequency neurotomy stratified by selection criteria: a systematic review of the literature. *Pain Medicine* 2020;21(11):2726-2737. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnaa219.
6. Manchikanti L, et al. Low back pain and diagnostic lumbar facet joint nerve blocks: assessment of prevalence, false-positive rates, and a philosophical paradigm shift from an acute to a chronic pain model. *Pain Physician* 2020;23(5):519-530.
7. Manchikanti L, et al. Assessment of prevalence of cervical facet joint pain with diagnostic cervical medial branch blocks: analysis based on chronic pain model. *Pain Physician* 2020;23(6):531-540.
8. Chou R, et al. Pain Management Injection Therapies for Low Back Pain. Technology Assessment Report ESIB0813 [Internet] Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2015 July Accessed at: <https://www.ahrq.gov/>. [created 2015; accessed 2025 Sep 03]
9. Manchikanti L, et al. A systematic review and best evidence synthesis of the effectiveness of therapeutic facet joint interventions in managing chronic spinal pain. *Pain Physician* 2015 Jul-Aug;18(4):E535-E582.
10. Vekaria R, Bhatt R, Ellard DR, Henschke N, Underwood M, Sandhu H. Intra-articular facet joint injections for low back pain: a systematic review. *European Spine Journal* 2016;25(4):1266-1281. DOI: 10.1007/s00586-016-4455-y.
11. Kennedy DJ, et al. Corticosteroid injections into lumbar facet joints: a prospective, randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *American Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2018;97(10):741-746. DOI: 10.1097/PHM.0000000000000960.

12. McCormick ZL, et al. A pragmatic randomized prospective trial of cooled radiofrequency ablation of the medial branch nerves versus facet joint injection of corticosteroid for the treatment of lumbar facet syndrome: 12 month outcomes. *Pain Medicine* 2023;24(12):1318-1331. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnad107.
13. Watters WC, et al. Guideline update for the performance of fusion procedures for degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Part 13: injection therapies, low-back pain, and lumbar fusion. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine* 2014;21(1):79-90. DOI: 10.3171/2014.4.SPINE14281.
14. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Falco FJ, Cash KA, Fellows B. Comparative outcomes of a 2-year follow-up of cervical medial branch blocks in management of chronic neck pain: a randomized, double-blind controlled trial. *Pain Physician* 2010;13(5):437-450.
15. Manchikanti L, Hirsch JA, Falco FJ, Boswell MV. Management of lumbar zygapophysial (facet) joint pain. *World Journal of Orthopedics* 2016;7(5):315-337. DOI: 10.5312/wjo.v7.i5.315.
16. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Falco FJ, Cash KA, Pampati V. Evaluation of lumbar facet joint nerve blocks in managing chronic low back pain: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial with a 2-year follow-up. *International Journal of Medical Sciences* 2010;7(3):124-135.
17. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Falco FJ, Cash KA, Pampati V, Fellows B. The role of thoracic medial branch blocks in managing chronic mid and upper back pain: a randomized, double-blind, active-control trial with a 2-year followup. *Anesthesiology Research and Practice* 2012;2012:585806. DOI: 10.1155/2012/585806.
18. Park KD, et al. Effect of medial branch block in chronic facet joint pain for osteoporotic compression fracture: one year retrospective study. *Annals of Rehabilitation Medicine* 2013;37(2):191-201. DOI: 10.5535/arm.2013.37.2.191.
19. Busse JW, et al. Commonly used interventional procedures for non-cancer chronic spine pain: a clinical practice guideline. *British Medical Journal* 2025;388:e079970. DOI: 10.1136/bmj-2024-079970.
20. Conger A, et al. The effectiveness of radiofrequency ablation of medial branch nerves for chronic lumbar facet joint syndrome in patients selected by guideline-concordant dual comparative medial branch blocks. *Pain Medicine* 2020;21(5):902-909. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnz248.
21. Cohen SP, et al. Effectiveness of lumbar facet joint blocks and predictive value before radiofrequency denervation: the Facet Treatment Study (FACTS), a randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Anesthesiology* 2018;129(3):517-535. DOI: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000002274.
22. Cohen SP, Hooten WM. Advances in the diagnosis and management of neck pain. *British Medical Journal* 2017;358:j3221.
23. Cohen SP, Huang JH, Brummett C. Facet joint pain--advances in patient selection and treatment. *Nature Reviews. Rheumatology* 2013;9(2):101- 116. DOI: 10.1038/nrrheum.2012.198.
24. Boswell MV, et al. A best-evidence systematic appraisal of the diagnostic accuracy and utility of Facet (Zygapophysial) joint injections in chronic spinal pain. *Pain Physician* 2015 Jul-Aug;18(4):E497-E533.
25. Falco FJ, et al. Systematic review of the therapeutic effectiveness of cervical facet joint interventions: an update. *Pain Physician* 2012;15(6):E839- E868.
26. Maas ET, et al. Radiofrequency denervation for chronic low back pain. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 10. Art. No.: CD008572. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD008572.pub2.
27. Popescu A, Lee H. Neck pain and lower back pain. *Medical Clinics of North America* 2020;104(2):279-292. DOI: 10.1016/j.mcna.2019.11.003.
28. Dowell D, Ragan KR, Jones CM, Baldwin GT, Chou R. CDC Clinical Practice Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Pain - United States, 2022. *MMWR - Recommendations and Reports* 2022;71(3):1-95. DOI: 10.15585/mmwr.rr7103a1.
29. Mazin DA, Sullivan JP. Lumbar and sacral radiofrequency neurotomy. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics* 2010;21(4):843-850. DOI: 10.1016/j.pmr.2010.06.010.
30. Kreiner DS, et al. Diagnosis and Treatment of Low Back Pain. Evidence-Based Clinical Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Spine Care [Internet] North American Spine Society. 2020 Aug Accessed at: <https://www.spine.org/>. [accessed 2025 Nov 20]
31. Nonopioid drugs for pain. *Medical Letter on Drugs and Therapeutics* 2018;60(1540):25-32.
32. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. "Hospital services excluded from payment under the hospital outpatient prospective payment system." 42 CFR 419.22 Washington, DC 2023 Jul [accessed 2025 Jul 22] Accessed at: <https://www.ecfr.gov/>.

33. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. "Requirements relating to basic benefits." 42 CFR 422.101 Washington, DC 2025 Jun 03 [accessed 2025 Jul 23] Accessed at: <https://www.ecfr.gov/>.
34. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 14 - Medical Devices Rev. 198 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2014 Nov 06 Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
35. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 15 - Covered Medical and Other Health Services Rev. 13108 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2025 Apr 11 Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
36. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 16 - General Exclusions From Coverage Rev. 198 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2014 Nov 06 Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
37. Medicare Coverage Database. [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coveredatabase/search.aspx?> Updated 2025 [accessed 2025 Oct 23]

References with Citation Summary -- Epidural Corticosteroid Injection (MCG ORG: A-0225 AC, 30th Edition)

1. Smith H, Youn Y, Guay RC, Laufer A, Pilitsis JG. The role of invasive pain management modalities in the treatment of chronic pain. *Medical Clinics of North America* 2016;100(1):103-15. DOI: 10.1016/j.mcna.2015.08.011.
2. Mesregah MK, et al. Clinical effectiveness of interlaminar epidural injections of local anesthetic with or without steroids for managing chronic neck pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain Physician* 2020;23(4):335-348.
3. Xu Y, Mauer KM, Singh A. Pain management in neurosurgery: back and lower extremity pain, trigeminal neuralgia. *Anesthesiology Clinics* 2021;39(1):179-194. DOI: 10.1016/j.anclin.2020.11.004.
4. Carassiti M, et al. Epidural steroid injections for low back pain: a narrative review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2021;19(1):Online. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph19010231.
5. Mattie R, Miller DC, Smith C. Annual maximum dose of epidural steroid injection. *Pain Medicine* 2019;20(10):2069-2070. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnz191.
6. John NB, Hodgden J. Epidural injections for long term pain relief in lumbar spinal stenosis. *Journal of the Oklahoma State Medical Association* 2019;112(6):158-159.
7. Friedly JL, et al. Long term effects of repeated injections of local anesthetic with or without corticosteroid for lumbar spinal stenosis: a randomized trial. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation* 2018;98(8):1499-1507 e2. DOI: 10.1016/j.apmr.2017.02.029.
8. Mattie R, Schneider BJ, Smith C. Frequency of epidural steroid injections. *Pain Medicine* 2020;21(5):1078-1079. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnaa053.
9. Van Boxem K, et al. Safe use of epidural corticosteroid injections: recommendations of the WIP Benelux work group. *Pain Practice* 2019;19(1):61- 92. DOI: 10.1111/papr.12709.
10. Lee JW, Lee E, Lee GY, Kang Y, Ahn JM, Kang HS. Epidural steroid injection-related events requiring hospitalisation or emergency room visits among 52,935 procedures performed at a single centre. *European Radiology* 2018;28(1):418-427. DOI: 10.1007/s00330-017-4977-7.
11. Duszynski B. Spine Intervention Society position statement on best practices for epidural steroid injections in the setting of a Preservative-Free Dexamethasone Shortage. *Pain Medicine* 2019;20(7):1277-1280. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnz063.
12. Patel J, Popescu A, Smith C. Follow-up after epidural steroid injections. *Pain Medicine* 2019;20(12):2598-2599. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnz243.
13. Chang A, Ng AT. Complications associated with lumbar transforaminal epidural steroid injections. *Current Pain and Headache Reports* 2020;24(11):67. DOI: 10.1007/s11916-020-00900-9.
14. Chang A, Wang D. Complications of fluoroscopically guided cervical interlaminar epidural steroid injections. *Current Pain and Headache Reports* 2020;24(10):63. DOI: 10.1007/s11916-020-00897-1.
15. Manchikanti L, et al. Epidural interventions in the management of chronic spinal pain: American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (ASIPP) comprehensive evidence-based guidelines. *Pain Physician* 2021;24(S1):S27-S208. (Reaffirmed 2025 Jul)

16. Schneider BJ, Miller DC, Mattie R, McCormick ZL, Smith C. Anticoagulants for lumbar epidural steroid injections. *Pain Medicine* 2020;21(6):1294- 1295. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnaa087.
17. Wang X, et al. Common interventional procedures for chronic non-cancer spine pain: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised trials. *British Medical Journal* 2025;388:e079971. DOI: 10.1136/bmj-2024-079971.
18. Chou R, et al. Pain Management Injection Therapies for Low Back Pain. Technology Assessment Report ESIB0813 [Internet] Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2015 July Accessed at: <https://www.ahrq.gov/>. [created 2015; accessed 2025 Sep 03]
19. Watters WC, et al. Guideline update for the performance of fusion procedures for degenerative disease of the lumbar spine. Part 13: injection therapies, low-back pain, and lumbar fusion. *Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine* 2014;21(1):79-90. DOI: 10.3171/2014.4.SPINE14281.
20. Manchikanti L, Cash KA, McManus CD, Pampati V, Benyamin RM. Thoracic interlaminar epidural injections in managing chronic thoracic pain: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial with a 2-year follow-up. *Pain Physician* 2014;17(3):E327-38.
21. Chou R, et al. Epidural corticosteroid injections for radiculopathy and spinal stenosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2015;163(5):373-381. DOI: 10.7326/M15-0934.
22. Deer TR, et al. Best practices for minimally invasive lumbar spinal stenosis treatment 2.0 (MIST): Consensus guidance from the American Society of Pain and Neuroscience (ASPN). *Journal of Pain Research* 2022;15:1325-1354. DOI: 10.2147/JPR.S355285.
23. Katz JN, Zimmerman ZE, Mass H, Makhni MC. Diagnosis and management of lumbar spinal stenosis: a review. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2022;327(17):1688-1699. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2022.5921.
24. Manchikanti L, et al. Do cervical epidural injections provide long-term relief in neck and upper extremity pain? A systematic review. *Pain Physician* 2015;18(1):39-60.
25. Conger A, Cushman DM, Speckman RA, Burnham T, Teramoto M, McCormick ZL. The effectiveness of fluoroscopically guided cervical transforaminal epidural steroid injection for the treatment of radicular pain; a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain Medicine* 2020;21(1):41-54. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnz127.
26. Busse JW, et al. Commonly used interventional procedures for non-cancer chronic spine pain: a clinical practice guideline. *British Medical Journal* 2025;388:e079970. DOI: 10.1136/bmj-2024-079970.
27. Smith CC, McCormick ZL, Mattie R, MacVicar J, Duszynski B, Stojanovic MP. The effectiveness of lumbar transforaminal injection of steroid for the treatment of radicular pain: a comprehensive review of the published data. *Pain Medicine* 2020;21(3):472-487. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnz160.
28. William J, Roehmer C, Mansy L, Kennedy DJ. Epidural steroid injections. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics* 2022;33(2):215-231. DOI: 10.1016/j.pmr.2022.01.009.
29. Meng H, et al. Epidural injections with or without steroids in managing chronic low back pain secondary to lumbar spinal stenosis: a meta-analysis of 13 randomized controlled trials. *Drug Design, Development and Therapy* 2015;9:4657-67. DOI: 10.2147/DDDT.S85524.
30. Zhao W, et al. Long-term outcomes of epidurals with lidocaine with or without steroids for lumbar disc herniation and spinal stenosis: a metaanalysis. *Pain Physician* 2020;23(4):365-374.
31. Oliveira CB, et al. Epidural corticosteroid injections for lumbosacral radicular pain. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2020, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD013577. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD013577.
32. Shamliyan TA, Staal JB, Goldmann D, Sands-Lincoln M. Epidural steroid injections for radicular lumbosacral pain: a systematic review. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Clinics* 2014;25(2):471-489.e50. DOI: 10.1016/j.pmr.2014.02.001.
33. Benyamin RM, et al. The effectiveness of lumbar interlaminar epidural injections in managing chronic low back and lower extremity pain. *Pain Physician* 2012;15(4):E363-404.
34. Bhatti AB, Kim S. Role of epidural injections to prevent surgical intervention in patients with chronic sciatica: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Cureus* 2016;8(8):e723. DOI: 10.7759/cureus.723.
35. Wilby MJ, et al. Microdiscectomy compared with transforaminal epidural steroid injection for persistent radicular pain caused by prolapsed intervertebral disc: the NERVES RCT. *Health Technology Assessment* 2021;25(24):1-86. DOI: 10.3310/hta25240.

36. Armon C, et al. Epidural steroids for cervical and lumbar radicular pain and spinal stenosis systematic review summary: report of the AAN guidelines subcommittee. *Neurology* 2025;104(5):e213361. DOI: 10.1212/WNL.0000000000213361.
37. Popescu A, Lee H. Neck pain and lower back pain. *Medical Clinics of North America* 2020;104(2):279-292. DOI: 10.1016/j.mcna.2019.11.003.
38. de Bruijn TM, de Groot IB, Miedema HS, Haumann J, Ostelo RWJG. Clinical relevance of epidural steroid injections on lumbosacral radicular syndrome-related symptoms: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Journal of Pain* 2021;37(7):524-537. DOI: 10.1097/AJP.0000000000000943.
39. Knezevic NN, Candido KD, Vlaeyen JWS, Van Zundert J, Cohen SP. Low back pain. *Lancet* 2021;398(10294):78-92. DOI: 10.1016/S0140- 6736(21)00733-9.
40. Bailey CS, et al. Surgery versus conservative care for persistent sciatica lasting 4 to 12 months. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2020;382(12):1093-1102. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoA1912658.
41. Diwan S, Sayed D, Deer TR, Salomons A, Liang K. An algorithmic approach to treating lumbar spinal stenosis: an evidenced-based approach. *Pain Medicine* 2019;20(Suppl 2):S23-S31. DOI: 10.1093/pm/pnz133.
42. Bono CM, et al. An evidence-based clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of cervical radiculopathy from degenerative disorders. *Spine Journal* 2011;11(1):64-72. DOI: 10.1016/j.spinee.2010.10.023. (Reaffirmed 2025 Nov)
43. Beall DP, et al. Treatment gaps and emerging therapies in lumbar disc herniation. *Pain Physician* 2024;27(7):401-413.
44. Stochkendahl MJ, et al. National Clinical Guidelines for non-surgical treatment of patients with recent onset low back pain or lumbar radiculopathy. *European Spine Journal* 2018;27(1):60-75. DOI: 10.1007/s00586-017-5099-2.
45. Corey DL, Comeau D. Cervical radiculopathy. *Medical Clinics of North America* 2014;98(4):791-799, xii. DOI: 10.1016/j.mcna.2014.04.001.
46. Maharty DC, Hines SC, Brown RB. Chronic low back pain in adults: evaluation and management. *American Family Physician* 2024;109(3):233- 244.
47. Liu C, et al. Surgical versus non-surgical treatment for sciatica: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *British Medical Journal* 2023;381:Online. DOI: 10.1136/bmj-2022-070730.
48. Krebs EE, et al. Effect of opioid vs nonopioid medications on pain-related function in patients with chronic back pain or hip or knee osteoarthritis pain: the SPACE randomized clinical trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2018;319(9):872-882. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2018.0899.
49. Nonopioid drugs for pain. *Medical Letter on Drugs and Therapeutics* 2018;60(1540):25-32.
50. Schneider MJ, et al. Comparative clinical effectiveness of nonsurgical treatment methods in patients with lumbar spinal stenosis: a Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Network Open* 2019;2(1):e186828. DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.6828.
51. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. "Hospital services excluded from payment under the hospital outpatient prospective payment system." 42 CFR 419.22 Washington, DC 2023 Jul [accessed 2025 Jul 22] Accessed at: <https://www.ecfr.gov/>.
52. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. "Requirements relating to basic benefits." 42 CFR 422.101 Washington, DC 2025 Jun 03 [accessed 2025 Jul 23] Accessed at: <https://www.ecfr.gov/>.
53. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 14 - Medical Devices Rev. 198 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2014 Nov 06 Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
54. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 15 - Covered Medical and Other Health Services Rev. 13108 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2025 Apr 11 Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
55. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 16 - General Exclusions From Coverage Rev. 198 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2014 Nov 06 Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
56. Medicare Coverage Database. [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx?> Updated 2025 [accessed 2025 Oct 23]

References with Citation Summary -- Knee MRI (MCG ORG: A-0052 AC, 30th Edition)

1. ACR-SPR-SSR Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of the Knee. Resolution 31 [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2020 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org/>. [created 2005; accessed 2024 Sep 19]
2. Witte DH III. Advanced imaging in orthopaedics. In: Azar FM, Beaty JH, editors. Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics. 14th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2021:141-176.e4.
3. Squires JH, Narayanan S, Tadros S. Fundamentals of pediatric radiology. In: Zitelli BJ, McIntire SC, Nowalk AJ, Garrison J, editors. Zitelli and Davis' Atlas of Pediatric Physical Diagnosis. 8th ed. Elsevier; 2022:923-992.
4. ACR Committee on Drugs and Contrast Media. ACR Manual on Contrast Media. [Internet] American College of Radiology. 2022 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org/>. [accessed 2024 Sep 18]
5. Weinreb JC, et al. Use of intravenous gadolinium-based contrast media in patients with kidney disease: consensus statements from the American College of Radiology and the National Kidney Foundation. *Radiology* 2021;298(1):28-35. DOI: 10.1148/radiol.202020903. (Reaffirmed 2025 Jun)
6. Primary Panel, et al. 2021 update on safety of magnetic resonance imaging: joint statement from Canadian Cardiovascular Society/Canadian Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance/Canadian Heart Rhythm Society. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology* 2021;37(6):835-847. DOI: 10.1016/j.cjca.2021.02.012. (Reaffirmed 2025 Jul)
7. Starekova J, Pirasteh A, Reeder SB. Update on gadolinium-based contrast agent safety, from the AJR special series on contrast media. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 2024;223(3):e2330036. DOI: 10.2214/AJR.23.30036.
8. Kanda T, Nakai Y, Hagiwara A, Oba H, Toyoda K, Furui S. Distribution and chemical forms of gadolinium in the brain: a review. *British Journal of Radiology* 2017;90(1079):20170115. DOI: 10.1259/bjr.20170115.
9. Gulani V, Calamante F, Shellock FG, Kanal E, Reeder SB, International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. Gadolinium deposition in the brain: summary of evidence and recommendations. *Lancet Neurology* 2017;16(7):564-570. DOI: 10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30158-8.
10. ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Resolution 8 [Internet] American College of Radiology. 2022 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org/>. [created 1992; accessed 2024 Sep 18]
11. Lowe MD, Plummer CJ, Manisty CH, Linker NJ, British Heart Rhythm Society. Safe use of MRI in people with cardiac implantable electronic devices. *Heart* 2015;101(24):1950-1953. DOI: 10.1136/heartjnl-2015-308495.
12. Nazarian S, et al. Safety of magnetic resonance imaging in patients with cardiac devices. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2017;377(26):2555- 2564. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1604267.
13. Nordbeck P, Ertl G, Ritter O. Magnetic resonance imaging safety in pacemaker and implantable cardioverter defibrillator patients: how far have we come? *European Heart Journal* 2015;36(24):1505-1511. DOI: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehv086.
14. Russo RJ, et al. Assessing the risks associated with MRI in patients with a pacemaker or defibrillator. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2017;376(8):755-764. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1603265.
15. Zhang W, et al. EULAR evidence-based recommendations for the diagnosis of knee osteoarthritis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2010;69(3):483-489. DOI: 10.1136/ard.2009.113100.
16. Non-Surgical Management of Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis Work Group. VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for the Non-Surgical Management of Hip and Knee Osteoarthritis. VA/DoD Evidence Based Practice [Internet] U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs and the Department of Defense. Version 2.0; 2020 Accessed at: <https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/>. [created 2007; accessed 2025 Sep 17]
17. Fox MG, et al. Chronic Knee Pain. ACR Appropriateness Criteria [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2018 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org/>. [accessed 2024 Sep 23]
18. Hayashi D, Guermazi A, Kwoh CK. Clinical and translational potential of MRI evaluation in knee osteoarthritis. *Current Rheumatology Reports* 2014;16(1):391. DOI: 10.1007/s11926-013-0391-6.
19. Roemer FW, et al. Can structural joint damage measured with MR imaging be used to predict knee replacement in the following year? *Radiology* 2015;274(3):810-820. DOI: 10.1148/radiol.14140991.

20. Bestic JM, et al. Primary Bone Tumors. ACR Appropriateness Criteria [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2019 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org>. [created 1995; accessed 2024 Jun 06]
21. Biko DM, Miller AL, Ho-Fung V, Jaramillo D. MRI of congenital and developmental abnormalities of the knee. *Clinical Radiology* 2012;67(12):1198-1206. DOI: 10.1016/j.crad.2012.03.012.
22. Guermazi A, et al. State of the art: MR imaging after knee cartilage repair surgery. *Radiology* 2015;277(1):23-43. DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2015141146.
23. Forney MC, Gupta A, Minas T, Winalski CS. Magnetic resonance imaging of cartilage repair procedures. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America* 2014;22(4):671-701. DOI: 10.1016/j.mric.2014.07.008.
24. Shakoob D, et al. Diagnostic performance of three-dimensional MRI for depicting cartilage defects in the knee: a meta-analysis. *Radiology* 2018;289(1):71-82. DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2018180426.
25. Hargett DI, Sanderson BR, Little MTM. Patella fractures: approach to treatment. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2021;29(6):244-253. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-00591.
26. Krych AJ, Saris DBF, Stuart MJ, Hacken B. Cartilage injury in the knee: assessment and treatment options. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2020;28(22):914-922. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-00266.
27. Silva MS, Fernandes ARC, Cardoso FN, Longo CH, Aihara AY. Radiography, CT, and MRI of hip and lower limb disorders in children and adolescents. *Radiographics* 2019;39(3):779-794. DOI: 10.1148/rg.2019180101.
28. Morrison WB, et al. Stress (Fatigue/Insufficiency) Fracture, Including Sacrum, Excluding Other Vertebrae. ACR Appropriateness Criteria [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2024 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org>. [created 1995; accessed 2024 Oct 01]
29. Sneag DB, Bogner EA, Potter HG. Magnetic resonance imaging evaluation of the painful total knee arthroplasty. *Seminars in Musculoskeletal Radiology* 2015;19(1):40-48. DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1396766.
30. Chang EY, Bae WC, Chung CB. Imaging the knee in the setting of metal hardware. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America* 2014;22(4):765-786. DOI: 10.1016/j.mric.2014.07.009.
31. Nodzo SR, et al. Conventional diagnostic challenges in periprosthetic joint infection. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2015;23 Suppl:S18-S25. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-14-00385.
32. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® imaging after total knee arthroplasty. *Journal of the American College of Radiology* 2017;14(11S):S421-S448. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.08.036.
33. Pierce JL, et al. Suspected Osteomyelitis, Septic Arthritis, or Soft Tissue Infection (Excluding Spine and Diabetic Foot). ACR Appropriateness Criteria [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2022 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org>. [accessed 2024 Oct 01]
34. Walker EA, et al. Imaging After Total Knee Arthroplasty. ACR Appropriateness Criteria [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2023 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org>. [accessed 2024 Sep 26]
35. Shikhare SN, See PLP, Chou H, Al-Riyami AM, Peh WCG. Magnetic resonance imaging of cysts, cystlike lesions, and their mimickers around the knee joint. *Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal* 2018;69(2):197-214. DOI: 10.1016/j.carj.2017.12.002.
36. Fischer W. Perspective on idiopathic subchondral, osteochondral, and chondral lesions with emphasis on the knee. *Seminars in Musculoskeletal Radiology* 2019;23(5):534-546. DOI: 10.1055/s-0039-1693978.
37. The Diagnosis and Treatment of Osteochondritis Dissecans. Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline [Internet] American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. 2023 Dec Accessed at: <https://www.orthoguidelines.org/guidelines>. [accessed 2025 Sep 04]
38. Calcei JG, Ray T, Sherman SL, Farr J. Management of large focal chondral and osteochondral defects in the knee. *Journal of Knee Surgery* 2020;33(12):1187-1200. DOI: 10.1055/s-0040-1721053.
39. Perkins CA, Willimon SC. Management of the failed OCD. *Current Reviews in Musculoskeletal Medicine* 2020;13(2):173-179. DOI: 10.1007/s12178-020-09611-5.

40. Komaraju A, Goldberg-Stein S, Pederson R, McCrum C, Chhabra A. Spectrum of common and uncommon causes of knee joint hyaline cartilage degeneration and their key imaging features. *European Journal of Radiology* 2020;129:Online. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2020.109097.
41. Gorbachova T, Melenevsky Y, Cohen M, Cerniglia BW. Osteochondral lesions of the knee: differentiating the most common entities at MRI. *Radiographics* 2018;38(5):1478-1495. DOI: 10.1148/rg.2018180044.
42. McAlindon T, Carrino JA. Osteonecrosis. In: Hochberg MC, Gravallese EM, Smolen JS, van der Heijde D, Weinblatt ME, Weisman MH, editors. *Rheumatology*. 8th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2023:1824-1832.
43. Ha AS, et al. Osteonecrosis. ACR Appropriateness Criteria [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2022 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org>. [accessed 2024 Sep 30]
44. Ghahremani S, Griggs R, Hall T, Motamedi K, Boechat MI. Osteochondral lesions in pediatric and adolescent patients. *Seminars in Musculoskeletal Radiology* 2014;18(5):505-512. DOI: 10.1055/s-0034-1389268.
45. Shigemura T, et al. Incidence of osteonecrosis associated with corticosteroid therapy among different underlying diseases: prospective MRI study. *Rheumatology* 2011;50(11):2023-2028. DOI: 10.1093/rheumatology/ker277.
46. Mallinson PI, Chou H, Forster BB, Munk PL. Radiology of soft tissue tumors. *Surgical Oncology Clinics of North America* 2014;23(4):911-936. DOI: 10.1016/j.soc.2014.06.006.
47. Strauss SJ, et al. Bone sarcomas: ESMO-EURACAN-GENTURIS-ERNPaedCan Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and followup. *Annals of Oncology* 2021;32(12):1520-1536. DOI: 10.1016/j.annonc.2021.08.1995. (Reaffirmed 2025 Jun)
48. Biermann JS, et al. Bone Cancer. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology [Internet] National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). v. 2.2025; 2025 Feb 28 Accessed at: <https://www.nccn.org/>. [accessed 2025 Mar 20]
49. Jernigan EW, Esther RJ. Soft tissue masses for the general orthopedic surgeon. *Orthopedic Clinics of North America* 2015;46(3):417-428, xi. DOI: 10.1016/j.ocl.2015.02.009.
50. ACR-SABI-SPR-SSR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of the Wrist. Resolution 35 [Internet] American College of Radiology. 2022 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org/>. [created 2007; accessed 2024 Sep 18]
51. Chapman T, Athanasian E. Malignant tumors of the hand. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2020;28(23):953-962. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-00333.
52. Kaur S, Lalam R, Cassar-Pullicino V, Tyrrell P, Singh J. Neoplastic elbow diseases and mimickers. *Seminars in Musculoskeletal Radiology* 2021;25(4):600-616. DOI: 10.1055/s-0041-1735608.
53. Stanborough R, et al. Malignant or Aggressive Primary Musculoskeletal Tumor-Staging And Surveillance. ACR Appropriateness Criteria [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2022 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org>. [created 1998; accessed 2024 Sep 26]
54. Nacey NC, Geeslin MG, Miller GW, Pierce JL. Magnetic resonance imaging of the knee: An overview and update of conventional and state of the art imaging. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging : JMRI* 2017;45(5):1257-1275. DOI: 10.1002/jmri.25620.
55. Rammelt S, Fritzsche H, Hofbauer C, Schaser KD. Malignant tumours of the foot and ankle. *Foot and Ankle Surgery* 2020;26(4):363-370. DOI: 10.1016/j.fas.2019.05.005.
56. Karout L, Naffaa L. Pediatric hip disorders: imaging guidelines and recommendations. *Radiologic Clinics of North America* 2022;60(1):149-163. DOI: 10.1016/j.rcl.2021.08.007.
57. Herring A, Davis DL. Mimickers of Hill-Sachs lesions [formula: see text]. *Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal* 2021;72(2):258-270. DOI: 10.1177/0846537119895751.
58. Fritzsche H, Weidlich A, Schaser KD, Mehnert E, Winkler D, Rammelt S. Benign tumours of foot and ankle. *EFORT Open Reviews* 2023;8(6):397- 408. DOI: 10.1530/EOR-22-0098.
59. Potter GM, et al. Skull base chordoma and chondrosarcoma: neuroradiologist's guide to diagnosis, surgical management, and proton beam therapy. *Radiographics* 2024;44(10):e240036. DOI: 10.1148/rg.240036.
60. Weinschenk RC, Wang WL, Lewis VO. Chondrosarcoma. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2021;29(13):553-562. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-01188.
61. Puri A. Chondrosarcomas in children and adolescents. *EFORT Open Reviews* 2020;5(2):90-95. DOI: 10.1302/2058-5241.5.190052.

62. Jain V, Oliveira I, Chavda A, Khoo M, Saifuddin A. MRI differentiation of low-grade and high-grade chondrosarcoma of the shoulder girdle, chest wall and pelvis: a pictorial review based on 111 consecutive cases. *British Journal of Radiology* 2021;94(1126):Online. DOI: 10.1259/bjr.20201404.
63. Tsukamoto S, Mavrogenis AF, Langevelde KV, Vucht NV, Kido A, Errani C. Imaging of spinal bone tumors: principles and practice. *Current Medical Imaging* 2022;18(2):142-161. DOI: 10.2174/1573405617666210301110446.
64. Crim J, Schmidt R, Layfield L, Hanrahan C, Manaster BJ. Can imaging criteria distinguish enchondroma from grade 1 chondrosarcoma? *European Journal of Radiology* 2015;84(11):2222-30. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2015.06.033.
65. Cesari M, et al. Bone marrow biopsy in the initial staging of Ewing sarcoma: Experience from a single institution. *Pediatric Blood and Cancer* 2019;66(6):e27653. DOI: 10.1002/pbc.27653.
66. von Mehren M, et al. Soft Tissue Sarcoma. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology [Internet] National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). v. 1.2025; 2025 May 02 Accessed at: <https://www.nccn.org/>. [accessed 2025 May 19]
67. Renn A, et al. Overview of malignant soft-tissue sarcomas of the limbs. *Clinical Radiology* 2021;76(12):940.e1-940.e16. DOI: 10.1016/j.crad.2021.08.011.
68. Acord MR, et al. Imaging of pediatric extremity soft tissue tumors: A COG Diagnostic Imaging Committee/SPR Oncology Committee White Paper. *Pediatric Blood and Cancer* 2023;70 Suppl 4(Suppl 4):Online. DOI: 10.1002/pbc.29944.
69. Hayes AJ, et al. UK guidelines for the management of soft tissue sarcomas. *British Journal of Cancer* 2025;132(1):11-31. DOI: 10.1038/s41416-024-02674-y.
70. ACR-SPR-SSR Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of the Hip and Pelvis for Musculoskeletal Disorders. Resolution 40 [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2021 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org/>. [created 2006; accessed 2024 Sep 19]
71. Teh J, Shahabpour M, Drape JL, Feydy A, Sudol-Szopinska I, Vanhoenacker FM. Hand masses. *Seminars in Musculoskeletal Radiology* 2021;25(2):216-231. DOI: 10.1055/s-0041-1724017.
72. Erwtaman AS, Balach T. Clinical evaluation and management of benign soft tissue tumors of the extremities. *Cancer Treatment and Research* 2014;162:171-202. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-07323-1_9.
73. Morrow MS, Oliveira AM. Imaging of lumps and bumps in pediatric patients: an algorithm for appropriate imaging and pictorial review. *Seminars in Ultrasound, CT and MRI* 2014;35(4):415-29. DOI: 10.1053/j.sult.2014.05.005.
74. Mayerson JL, Scharschmidt TJ, Lewis VO, Morris CD. Diagnosis and management of soft-tissue masses. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2014;22(11):742-750. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-22-11-742.
75. Ponti F, et al. Clinico-radiological features of tumor-like lesions of the upper limbs: don't worry about those lumps. *Current Medical Imaging* 2021;17(2):244-260. DOI: 10.2174/1573405617666210111103537.
76. Litrenta J, Masrouha K, Wasterlain A, Castaneda P. Ultrasound evaluation of pediatric orthopaedic patients. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2020;28(16):e696-e705. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-17-00895.
77. Garner HW, et al. Soft Tissue Masses. ACR Appropriateness Criteria [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2022 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org/>. [created 1995; accessed 2024 Oct 01]
78. Bhat V, Gupta H. The radiological diagnosis of infection. *Orthopaedics and Trauma* 2014;28(6):409-416. DOI: 10.1016/j.mporth.2014.03.001.
79. Llewellyn A, Jones-Diette J, Kraft J, Holton C, Harden M, Simmonds M. Imaging tests for the detection of osteomyelitis: a systematic review. *Health Technology Assessment* 2019;23(61):1-128. DOI: 10.3310/hta23610.
80. Payares-Lizano M. The limping child. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2020;67(1):119-138. DOI: 10.1016/j.pcl.2019.09.009.
81. Bierry G, Huang AJ, Chang CY, Torriani M, Bredella MA. MRI findings of treated bacterial septic arthritis. *Skeletal Radiology* 2012;41(12):1509-1516. DOI: 10.1007/s00256-012-1397-2.
82. Sheikh A, Koujok K, Sampaio ML, Schweitzer ME. MR imaging of osseous lesions of the hip. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America* 2013;21(1):111-125. DOI: 10.1016/j.mric.2012.09.001.

83. Morbach H, et al. Comparison of magnetic resonance imaging and 99mTechnetium-labelled methylene diphosphonate bone scintigraphy in the initial assessment of chronic non-bacterial osteomyelitis of childhood and adolescents. *Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology* 2012;30(4):578- 582.
84. Kaplan SL. Recent lessons for the management of bone and joint infections. *Journal of Infection* 2014;68 Suppl 1:S51-S56. DOI: 10.1016/j.jinf.2013.09.014.
85. Paakkonen M, Peltola H. Bone and joint infections. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 2013;60(2):425-436. DOI: 10.1016/j.pcl.2012.12.006.
86. Baker JC, Hillen TJ, Demertzis JL. The role of imaging in musculoskeletal emergencies. *Seminars in Roentgenology* 2014;49(2):169-185. DOI: 10.1053/j.ro.2014.01.001.
87. Lee YJ, Sadigh S, Mankad K, Kapse N, Rajeswaran G. The imaging of osteomyelitis. *Quantitative Imaging in Medicine and Surgery* 2016;6(2):184-198. DOI: 10.21037/qims.2016.04.01.
88. Math KR, Berkowitz JL, Paget SA, Endo Y. Imaging of musculoskeletal infection. *Rheumatic Diseases Clinics of North America* 2016;42(4):769- 784. DOI: 10.1016/j.rdc.2016.07.010.
89. Martin Noguerol T, Luna Alcala A, Beltran LS, Gomez Cabrera M, Broncano Cabrero J, Vilanova JC. Advanced MR imaging techniques for differentiation of neuropathic arthropathy and osteomyelitis in the diabetic foot. *Radiographics* 2017;37(4):1161-1180. DOI: 10.1148/rg.2017160101.
90. Gowda P, et al. Diagnostic performance comparison of conventional radiography to magnetic resonance imaging for suspected osteomyelitis of the extremities: a multi-reader study. *European Radiology* 2023;33(11):8300-8309. DOI: 10.1007/s00330-023-09734-6.
91. Restrepo R, et al. Bacterial osteomyelitis in pediatric patients: a comprehensive review. *Skeletal Radiology* 2024;53(10):2195-2210. DOI: 10.1007/s00256-024-04639-x.
92. ACR-SPR-SSR Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of Bone, Joint, and Soft-Tissue Infections in the Extremities. Resolution 43 [Internet] American College of Radiology. 2021 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org/>. [created 2011; accessed 2024 Sep 19]
93. Le Saux N. Diagnosis and management of acute osteoarticular infections in children. *Paediatrics Child Health* 2018;23(5):336-343. DOI: 10.1093/pch/pxy049.
94. Thakolkaran N, Shetty AK. Acute hematogenous osteomyelitis in children. *Ochsner Journal* 2019;19(2):116-122. DOI: 10.31486/toj.18.0138.
95. Woods CR, et al. Clinical practice guideline by the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America: 2021 guideline on diagnosis and management of acute hematogenous osteomyelitis in pediatrics. *Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society* 2021;10(8):801-844. DOI: 10.1093/jpids/piab027. (Reaffirmed 2025 Mar)
96. Expert Panel on Pediatric Imaging, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® osteomyelitis or septic arthritis-child (excluding axial skeleton). *Journal of the American College of Radiology* 2022;19(5S):S121-S136. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.02.017.
97. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® suspected osteomyelitis of the foot in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Journal of the American College of Radiology* 2019;16(11S):S440-S450. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacr.2019.05.027.
98. Cyteval C, Bourdon A. Imaging orthopedic implant infections. *Diagnostic and Interventional Imaging* 2012;93(6):547-557. DOI: 10.1016/j.diii.2012.03.004.
99. Barber JL, Offiah AC. Skeletal radiology in children: non-traumatic and non-malignant. In: Adam A, Dixon AK, Gillard JH, Schaefer-Prokop CM, editors. *Grainger & Allison's Diagnostic Radiology*. 7th ed. Elsevier; 2021:1886-1934.
100. Bonnet E, et al. Clinical practice recommendations for infectious disease management of diabetic foot infection (DFI) - 2023 SPILF. *Infectious Diseases Now* 2024;54(1):Online. DOI: 10.1016/j.idnow.2023.104832.
101. Gallagher KA, et al. Current status and principles for the treatment and prevention of diabetic foot ulcers in the cardiovascular patient population: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2024;149(4):Online. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001192.
102. Zaki I, Morrison WB. Osteomyelitis and septic arthritis of the foot and ankle: imaging update. *Clinics in Podiatric Medicine and Surgery* 2024;41(4):745-758. DOI: 10.1016/j.cpm.2024.04.007.
103. Larbi A, et al. Imaging of tumors and tumor-like lesions of the knee. *Diagnostic and Interventional Imaging* 2016 Jul-Aug;97(7-8):767-777. DOI: 10.1016/j.diii.2016.06.004.

104. Wang W, et al. Accuracy of MRI diagnosis of meniscal tears of the knee: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Journal of Knee Surgery* 2021;34(2):121-129. DOI: 10.1055/s-0039-1694056.
105. Sharifah MI, Lee CL, Suraya A, Johan A, Syed AF, Tan SP. Accuracy of MRI in the diagnosis of meniscal tears in patients with chronic ACL tears. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 2015;23(3):826-830. DOI: 10.1007/s00167-013-2766-7.
106. Girish G, Glazebrook KN, Jacobson JA. Advanced imaging in gout. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 2013;201(3):515-525. DOI: 10.2214/AJR.13.10776.
107. Mandl P, et al. EULAR recommendations for the use of imaging in the diagnosis and management of spondyloarthritis in clinical practice. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2015;74(7):1327-1339. DOI: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2014-206971. (Reaffirmed 2025 Jun)
108. Knutson T, Bothwell J, Durbin R. Evaluation and management of traumatic knee injuries in the emergency department. *Emergency Medicine Clinics of North America* 2015;33(2):345-362. DOI: 10.1016/j.emc.2014.12.007.
109. Leschied JR, Udager KG. Imaging of the pediatric knee. *Seminars in Musculoskeletal Radiology* 2017;21(2):137-146. DOI: 10.1055/s-0037- 1599205.
110. Stahl D, Serrano-Riera R, Collin K, Griffing R, Defenbaugh B, Sagi HC. Operatively treated meniscal tears associated with tibial plateau fractures: a report on 661 patients. *Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma* 2015;29(7):322-324. DOI: 10.1097/BOT.0000000000000290.
111. Mitchell JJ, et al. Incidence of meniscal injury and chondral pathology in anterior tibial spine fractures of children. *Journal of Pediatric Orthopedics* 2015;35(2):130-135. DOI: 10.1097/BPO.0000000000000249.
112. Kang MS, Kim JM, Park SS, Bin SI. Prediction of the peripheral rim instability of the discoid lateral meniscus in children by using preoperative clinicoradiological factors. *Journal of Pediatric Orthopedics* 2019;39(10):e761-e768. DOI: 10.1097/BPO.0000000000001370.
113. Griffith JF. Five overlooked injuries on knee MRI. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 2021;217(5):1165-1174. DOI: 10.2214/AJR.21.26056.
114. Turan A, Celtikci P, Tufan A, Ozturk MA. Basic radiological assessment of synovial diseases: a pictorial essay. *European Journal of Rheumatology* 2017;4(2):166-174. DOI: 10.5152/eurjrheum.2015.0032.
115. Srivastava A, et al. WFH Guidelines for the Management of Hemophilia. 3rd Edition [Internet] World Federation of Hemophilia. 2020 Accessed at: <https://wfh.org/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 17]
116. Gooding R, Thachil J, Alamelu J, Motwani J, Chowdary P. Asymptomatic joint bleeding and joint health in hemophilia: a review of variables, methods, and biomarkers. *Journal of Blood Medicine* 2021;12:209-220. DOI: 10.2147/JBM.S304597.
117. Gualtierotti R, Solimeno LP, Peyvandi F. Hemophilic arthropathy: Current knowledge and future perspectives. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis* 2021;19(9):2112-2121. DOI: 10.1111/jth.15444.
118. Mattila KA, Aronniemi J, Salminen P, Rintala RJ, Kyrklund K. Intra-articular venous malformation of the knee in children: magnetic resonance imaging findings and significance of synovial involvement. *Pediatric Radiology* 2020;50(4):509-515. DOI: 10.1007/s00247-019-04580-5.
119. Hemke R, et al. Contrast-enhanced MRI compared with the physical examination in the evaluation of disease activity in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *European Radiology* 2014;24(2):327-334. DOI: 10.1007/s00330-013-3036-2.
120. Hemke R, et al. Frequency of joint involvement in juvenile idiopathic arthritis during a 5-year follow-up of newly diagnosed patients: implications for MR imaging as outcome measure. *Rheumatology International* 2015;35(2):351-357. DOI: 10.1007/s00296-014-3108-x.
121. Lerisson H, Tillaux C, Boutry N. Radiographic/MR imaging correlation of the pediatric knee growth. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America* 2019;27(4):737-751. DOI: 10.1016/j.mric.2019.07.013.
122. Chauvin NA, et al. Joint Pain: Idiopathic Arthritis-Child. ACR Appropriateness Criteria [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2025 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org>. [accessed 2025 Nov 03]
123. Lynskey SJ, Pianta MJ. MRI and thallium features of pigmented villonodular synovitis and giant cell tumours of tendon sheaths: a retrospective single centre study of imaging and literature review. *British Journal of Radiology* 2015;88(1056):20150528. DOI: 10.1259/bjr.20150528.

124. Poggenborg RP, Ostergaard M, Terslev L. Imaging in psoriatic arthritis. *Rheumatic Diseases Clinics of North America* 2015;41(4):593-613. DOI: 10.1016/j.rdc.2015.07.007.
125. Poggenborg RP, Sorensen IJ, Pedersen SJ, Ostergaard M. Magnetic resonance imaging for diagnosing, monitoring and prognostication in psoriatic arthritis. *Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology* 2015;33(5 Suppl 93):S66-S69.
126. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® chronic extremity joint pain-suspected inflammatory arthritis. *Journal of the American College of Radiology* 2017;14(5S):S81-S89. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.006.
127. McCullough AE, Schwartz AJ, Taylor VL, Kransdorf MJ. Synovial sarcoma presenting as an avascular mass: radiologic-pathologic correlation. *Skeletal Radiology* 2015;44(2):279-284. DOI: 10.1007/s00256-014-1964-9.
128. Craft JA, Kurzweil PR. Physical examination and imaging of medial collateral ligament and posteromedial corner of the knee. *Sports Medicine and Arthroscopy Review* 2015;23(2):e1-e6. DOI: 10.1097/JSA.0000000000000066.
129. Labella CR, Hennrikus W, Hewett TE, Council on Sports Medicine and Fitness, and Section on Orthopaedics. Anterior cruciate ligament injuries: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. *Pediatrics* 2014 (AAP reaffirmed 2018);133(5):e1437-e1450. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2014-0623. (Reaffirmed 2025 Jun)
130. Hansford BG, Yablon CM. Multiligamentous injury of the knee: MRI diagnosis and injury patterns. *Seminars in Musculoskeletal Radiology* 2017;21(2):63-74. DOI: 10.1055/s-0037-1599208.
131. Griffith JF, Ng AWH. Top-ten tips for imaging the ACL. *Seminars in Musculoskeletal Radiology* 2019;23(4):444-452. DOI: 10.1055/s-0039-1693489.
132. Karrasch C, Gallo RA. The acutely injured knee. *Medical Clinics of North America* 2014;98(4):719-736. DOI: 10.1016/j.mcna.2014.03.002.
133. Naraghi A, White LM. MR imaging of cruciate ligaments. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America* 2014;22(4):557-580. DOI: 10.1016/j.mric.2014.07.003.
134. Gans I, Bedoya MA, Ho-Fung V, Ganley TJ. Diagnostic performance of magnetic resonance imaging and pre-surgical evaluation in the assessment of traumatic intra-articular knee disorders in children and adolescents: what conditions still pose diagnostic challenges? *Pediatric Radiology* 2015;45(2):194-202. DOI: 10.1007/s00247-014-3127-5.
135. Bolton S, Bailey MEA, Wei R, McConnell JS. Paediatric injuries around the knee: Soft tissue injuries. *Injury* 2022;53(2):237-243. DOI: 10.1016/j.injury.2021.11.044.
136. Alaia EF, Rosenberg ZS, Alaia MJ. Stener-like lesions of the superficial medial collateral ligament of the knee: MRI features. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 2019;213(6):W272-W276. DOI: 10.2214/AJR.19.21535.
137. Porrino J, Wang A, Kani K, Kweon CY, Gee A. Preoperative MRI for the multiligament knee injury: what the surgeon needs to know. *Current Problems in Diagnostic Radiology* 2020;49(3):188-198. DOI: 10.1067/j.cpradiol.2019.02.004.
138. Chandrasekaran S, Scarvell JM, Buirski G, Woods KR, Smith PN. Magnetic resonance imaging study of alteration of tibiofemoral joint articulation after posterior cruciate ligament injury. *Knee* 2012;19(1):60-64. DOI: 10.1016/j.knee.2010.11.010.
139. Ockuly AC, et al. Initial evaluation and classification of knee dislocations. *Sports Medicine and Arthroscopy Review* 2020;28(3):87-93. DOI: 10.1097/JSA.0000000000000271.
140. Aparisi Gomez MP, Marcheggiani Muccioli GM, Guglielmi G, Zaffagnini S, Bazzocchi A. Particularities on anatomy and normal postsurgical appearances of the knee. *Radiologic Clinics of North America* 2023;61(2):219-247. DOI: 10.1016/j.rcl.2022.10.009.
141. Dayan E, Maderazo A, Fitzpatrick D. Magnetic resonance imaging of complications of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *American Journal of Orthopedics (Belle Mead, N.J.)* 2015;44(12):569-571.
142. Voss A, Pfeifer CG, Kerschbaum M, Rupp M, Angele P, Alt V. Post-operative septic arthritis after arthroscopy: modern diagnostic and therapeutic concepts. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 2021;29(10):3149-3158. DOI: 10.1007/s00167-021-06525-8.
143. Cecava ND, Dieckman S, Banks KP, Mansfield LT. Traumatic knee injury: correlation of radiographic effusion size with the presence of internal derangement on magnetic resonance imaging. *Emergency Radiology* 2018;25(5):479-487. DOI: 10.1007/s10140-018-1605-z.

144. Stone AV, Marx S, Conley CW. Management of partial tears of the anterior cruciate ligament: a review of the anatomy, diagnosis, and treatment. *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons* 2021;29(2):60-70. DOI: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-00242.
145. Yablon CM, Pai D, Dong Q, Jacobson JA. Magnetic resonance imaging of the extensor mechanism. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America* 2014;22(4):601-620. DOI: 10.1016/j.mric.2014.07.004.
146. Wolf M. Knee pain in children, part III: stress injuries, benign bone tumors, growing pains. *Pediatrics in Review* 2016;37(3):114-119. DOI: 10.1542/pir.2015-0042.
147. Mills MK, Allen H. Knee plical pathology and impingement syndromes. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America* 2022;30(2):293- 305. DOI: 10.1016/j.mric.2021.11.008.
148. Bunt CW, Jonas CE, Chang JG. Knee pain in adults and adolescents: the initial evaluation. *American Family Physician* 2018;98(9):576-585.
149. Sherman SL, Hinckel BB, Farr J. Patellar instability. In: Miller MD, Thompson SR, editors. *DeLee, Drez & Miller's Orthopaedic Sports Medicine*. 5th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2020:1293-1307.e5.
150. Hong E, Kraft MC. Evaluating anterior knee pain. *Medical Clinics of North America* 2014;98(4):697-717. DOI: 10.1016/j.mcna.2014.03.001.
151. Thawait SK, Soldatos T, Thawait GK, Cosgarea AJ, Carrino JA, Chhabra A. High resolution magnetic resonance imaging of the patellar retinaculum: normal anatomy, common injury patterns, and pathologies. *Skeletal Radiology* 2012;41(2):137-148. DOI: 10.1007/s00256-011-1291- 3.
152. Zhang GY, Zheng L, Ding HY, Li EM, Sun BS, Shi H. Evaluation of medial patellofemoral ligament tears after acute lateral patellar dislocation: comparison of high-frequency ultrasound and MR. *European Radiology* 2015;25(1):274-281. DOI: 10.1007/s00330-014-3407-3.
153. Burge AJ, Gold SL, Kuong S, Potter HG. High-resolution magnetic resonance imaging of the lower extremity nerves. *Neuroimaging Clinics of North America* 2014;24(1):151-170. DOI: 10.1016/j.nic.2013.03.027.
154. Morag Y, Yang LJ. Imaging nerve pathology of the knee: magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound. *Seminars in Musculoskeletal Radiology* 2017;21(2):122-136. DOI: 10.1055/s-0037-1599206.
155. Damarey B, Demondion X, Wavreille G, Pansini V, Balbi V, Cotten A. Imaging of the nerves of the knee region. *European Journal of Radiology* 2013;82(1):27-37. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2011.04.035.
156. Van Dyck P, et al. Imaging of the postoperative anterior cruciate ligament: emphasis on new surgical and imaging methods. *Seminars in Musculoskeletal Radiology* 2016;20(1):33-42. DOI: 10.1055/s-0036-1579678.
157. Boutin RD, Fritz RC, Marder RA. Magnetic resonance imaging of the postoperative meniscus: resection, repair, and replacement. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America* 2014;22(4):517-555. DOI: 10.1016/j.mric.2014.07.007.
158. Bardo DME, et al. Soft Tissue Vascular Anomalies: Vascular Malformations and Infantile Vascular Tumors (Non-CNS)-Child. ACR Appropriateness Criteria [Internet] American College of Radiology (ACR). 2023 Accessed at: <https://www.acr.org/>. [accessed 2024 Oct 01]
159. Geiger D, Chang EY, Pathria MN, Chung CB. Posterolateral and posteromedial corner injuries of the knee. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America* 2014;22(4):581-599. DOI: 10.1016/j.mric.2014.08.001.
160. Yu JS. Easily missed fractures in the lower extremity. *Radiologic Clinics of North America* 2015;53(4):737-755, viii. DOI: 10.1016/j.rcl.2015.02.003.
161. Bailey MEA, Wei R, Bolton S, Richards RH. Paediatric injuries around the knee: Bony injuries. *Injury* 2020;51(3):611-619. DOI: 10.1016/j.injury.2019.12.033.
162. Patel NK, Bucknill A, Ahearne D, Denning J, Desai K, Watson M. Early magnetic resonance imaging in acute knee injury: a cost analysis. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 2012;20(6):1152-1158. DOI: 10.1007/s00167-012-1926-5.
163. Gill KG, Nemeth BA, Davis KW. Magnetic resonance imaging of the pediatric knee. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging Clinics of North America* 2014;22(4):743-763. DOI: 10.1016/j.mric.2014.07.010.
164. Feucht MJ, et al. Meniscal injuries in children and adolescents undergoing surgical treatment for tibial eminence fractures. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy* 2017;25(2):445-453. DOI: 10.1007/s00167-016-4184-0.

165. Ha AS, Porrino JA, Chew FS. Radiographic pitfalls in lower extremity trauma. *American Journal of Roentgenology* 2014;203(3):492-500. DOI: 10.2214/AJR.14.12626.
166. Shin YW, Uppstrom TJ, Haskel JD, Green DW. The tibial eminence fracture in skeletally immature patients. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics* 2015;27(1):50-57. DOI: 10.1097/MOP.000000000000176.
167. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. "Hospital services excluded from payment under the hospital outpatient prospective payment system." 42 CFR 419.22 Washington, DC 2023 Jul [accessed 2025 Jul 22] Accessed at: <https://www.ecfr.gov/>.
168. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. "Requirements relating to basic benefits." 42 CFR 422.101 Washington, DC 2025 Jun 03 [accessed 2025 Jul 23] Accessed at: <https://www.ecfr.gov/>.
169. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 14 - Medical Devices Rev. 198 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2014 Nov 06 Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
170. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 15 - Covered Medical and Other Health Services Rev. 13108 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2025 Apr 11 Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
171. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Benefit Policy Manual. Chapter 16 - General Exclusions From Coverage Rev. 198 [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2014 Nov 06 Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/Regulations-and-Guidance/Guidance/Manuals/>. [accessed 2025 Sep 09]
172. Medicare Coverage Database. [Internet] Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Accessed at: <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coveredatabase/search.aspx?> Updated 2025 [accessed 2025 Oct 23]

5. Public Accessibility

Transparency Tool URL:

<https://akido.access.mcg.com/index>

Coverage Summaries URL:

<https://www.myfamilymg.com/medical-necessity-supplementation-criteria/documents>

Navigation Instructions:

To access these coverage summaries, navigate to the UM Criteria page, scroll to the bottom of the page, and click the Medical Necessity Supplementation Criteria link. All summaries are organized alphabetically by category.